

Hurricane Ike Action Plan

Summary of Council of Governments Method of Distribution

COG: EAST TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

Number of Eligible Counties: 9

REGIONAL DESIGNATION OF HOUSING AND NON-HOUSING FUNDS

| | Amounts | Percentage |
|---|---|------------|
| Housing funds | \$415,117 | 4.5% |
| Non Housing - Infrastructure Funds | \$8,809,706 | 95.5% |
| Non Housing - Economic Development Funds | \$0 | 0% |
| Total of Non-Housing Funds | \$8,809,706 | 95.5% |
| Total Funding | \$9,224,823 | 100% |
| Description | Utilizing Individual assistance figures from the FEMA 1791 DR-Tx dated 12-3-09 as a base and supported by a preliminary projects survey performed by the COG, Housing funds were reduced to allow an increase in non housing funding required to include funds for unmet needs in Smith County(which had not been assessed by FEMA). | |

DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSING FUNDS

| Distribution Method | Project Selection | Administration |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Combination Process</p> <p>Distribution of housing funds to the County level was based on ratios of IA shown in the FEMA 1791 DR-Tx dated 12-3-09.</p> <p>All housing funds will be managed by the COG through a competitive process.</p> | <p>Project selection is by a competitive process.</p> <p>Eligibility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual projects cannot exceed \$5,000. Individual projects must be single family dwellings. The COG will solicit project applications. <p>Scoring</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Projects are scored using CDBG guidelines as specified by TDHCA. Projects are also scored by four criteria of household income, size of family, age of applicant and disability status as used in the housing and energy assistance program. <p>Selection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The COG will choose the projects for submittal to the State. | <p>The COG is responsible for administration of the selection process including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Limitation of number of applications per the 5 Counties allocated funds. handling all appeals, and; redistribution of any lapsed funds. <p>The COG will advertize, receive, score applications Select all projects</p> <p>The COG will contract with TDHCA and become the sub-recipient of funds.</p> <p>The COG will utilize the Residential Repair Vendor process already in place for the Area Agency on Aging.</p> |

Hurricane Ike Action Plan

Summary of Council of Governments Method of Distribution

DISTRIBUTION OF NON-HOUSING INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDS

| Distribution Method | Project Selection | Administration |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Set-aside to Counties</p> <p>A distribution index was created from population public assistance, poverty population and unemployment statistics. Funds were set aside for each County according to these ratios. Smith County was the allocated 5.75% based upon results of a preliminary survey of projects by the COG. The remaining Counties' set-asides were adjusted accordingly.</p> | <p>Project selection is by a competitive process per County</p> <p>Eligibility</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Individual projects cannot exceed \$250,000 per RRC criteria. Entities cannot exceed \$500,000 total • The COG will solicit project applications (with non profits and special districts applying through either their county or municipality) as appropriate. • Applicants may be the sub-recipient of their own grant to ORCA or may ask County to act as sub-recipient (required for WSCs) <p>Scoring</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Projects are scored using RRC criteria by the COG. An additional 50 points will be assigned by staff based on each County's chosen scoring criteria <p>Selection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ETCOG will rank projects within each County based on set-aside amounts | <p>The COG is responsible for administration of the selection process including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • accounting for the 60/40% City to County allocation of funds across the region; • handling all appeals, and; • redistribution of any lapsed funds. <p>The COG will advertize, receive and score applications and submit to each selected entity guidelines for applying to ORCA as sub-recipient. ETCOG will also submit guidelines to Counties who are applying to ORCA on behalf of WSCs.</p> <p>Each selected entity will prepare and submit to ORCA an application for each project if acting as sub-recipient</p> <p>Each selected entity will then contract with ORCA, become the sub-recipient of funds and manage their own contract (if Co. they will manage WSC's projects also)</p> |

DISTRIBUTION OF NON-HOUSING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT FUNDS

| Distribution Method | Project Selection | Administration |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>Not Applicable</i> | <i>Not Applicable</i> | <i>Not Applicable</i> |

Hurricane Ike Action Plan
 Summary of Council of Governments Method of Distribution

DISTRIBUTION OF FUNDS BY ENTITY

| Entity | Housing Funds | Non-Housing Infrastructure Funds | Non-Housing Economic Development Funds | Total Funds Allocated | % of COG Housing | % of COG Infrastructure | % of Economic Development | % of Total |
|-----------------|---------------|----------------------------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| Anderson County | \$0 | \$445,995 | \$0 | \$445,995 | 0% | 5% | 0% | 5% |
| Cherokee County | \$218,570 | \$3,145,570 | \$0 | \$3,364,140 | 53% | 36% | 0% | 36% |
| Gregg County | \$40,933 | \$2,592,290 | \$0 | \$2,633,223 | 10% | 29% | 0% | 29% |
| Harrison County | \$72,523 | \$874,781 | \$0 | \$947,304 | 17% | 10% | 0% | 10% |
| Marion County | \$0 | \$121,283 | \$0 | \$121,283 | 0% | 1% | 0% | 1% |
| Panola County | \$0 | \$238,825 | \$0 | \$238,825 | 0% | 3% | 0% | 3% |
| Rusk County | \$68,802 | \$549,523 | \$0 | \$618,325 | 17% | 6% | 0% | 7% |
| Smith County | \$14,289 | \$507,365 | \$0 | \$521,654 | 3% | 6% | 0% | 6% |
| Upshur County | \$0 | \$334,074 | \$0 | \$334,074 | 0% | 4% | 0% | 4% |
| COG Total | \$415,117 | \$8,809,706 | \$0 | \$9,224,823 | 100% | 100% | 0% | 100% |

Chosen County Criteria for 50 Scoring Points

Anderson County-Project Priority

Emergency Power-Generators 50 points

Other types of projects will receive 0 points

Cherokee County -Project Priority

Emergency Power-Generators 50 points
 Solid Waste 40 points
 Fire Protection 40 points
 "Other" eligible projects 40 points
 Shelters 30 points
 Accessibility Improvements 10 points

Gregg County -Project Priority

Emergency Power-Generators 50 points
 Water (plant, storage, distribution system) 50 points
 Wastewater (plant, collection system) 50 points
 Shelters 20 points
 Streets 10 points

Harrison County-Project Priority

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Emergency Power-Generators | 50 points |
| Water (plant, storage, distribution system) | 30 points |
| Wastewater (plant, collection system) | 20 points |

Marion County -Project Priority

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Emergency Power-Generators | 50 points |
| Water (plant, storage, distribution system) | 50 points |
| Wastewater (plant, collection system) | 50 points |

Panola County -Project Priority

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Emergency Power-Generators | 50 points |
|----------------------------|-----------|

Other types of projects will receive 0 points

Rusk County -Project Priority

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Emergency Power-Generators | 50 points |
|----------------------------|-----------|

Other types of projects will receive 0 points

Smith County -Project Priority

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Emergency Power-Generators | 50 points |
| Shelters | 40 points |
| Water (plant, storage, distribution system) | 30 points |
| Wastewater (plant, collection system) | 20 points |
| Roads (serving the larger area) | 20 points |
| Streets(serving the local area) | 20 points |
| Transportation (other than roads & streets) | 20 points |
| Solid Waste | 20 points |
| Gas Systems | 20 points |
| accessibility improvements | 20 points |
| Fire Protection | 20 points |
| "Other "eligible projects | 20 points |

Upshur County -Project Priority

| | |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Emergency Power-Generators | 50 points |
|----------------------------|-----------|

Other types of projects will receive 0 points

PLEASE NOTE THAT ANY GENERATOR (REGARDLESS OF LOCATION) WILL BE GIVEN POINTS BASED ON THE "EMERGENCY POWER-GENERATORS" CRITERIA.