


**East Texas Council of Governments**

**EAST TEXAS REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE  
GUIDEBOOK  
2011-2012 TxCDBG Program**

**Luke Kimbrough**



**May 11, 2010**



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. Introduction.....1

II. East Texas RRC Approved Actions.....3

III. Summary of East Texas RRC Objective Scoring Criteria.....5

IV. East Texas RRC Objective Scoring Criteria.....7



## **PART I – INTRODUCTION**

**EAST TEXAS COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS**  
**EAST TEXAS REGIONAL REVIEW COMMITTEE GUIDEBOOK**

**2011-2012 TEXAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT  
PROGRAM**

The East Texas Regional Review Committee (RRC) Guidebook has been prepared in accordance with the 2011 TxCDBG Action Plan and the 2011-2012 Regional Review Committee Scoring and Training Guidelines for the Community Development Fund. The Guidebook provides eligible applicants from the East Texas Council of Governments (ETCOG) region with the application guidelines necessary to be scored under the East Texas RRC scoring criteria.

Any questions regarding the RRC or the Guidebook should be directed in writing after the East Texas RRC Guidebook has been published in the website of the Texas Department of Rural Affairs (TDRA) to:

**Mark Wyatt, Director**  
**Community Development**  
**Texas Department of Rural Affairs**  
**P.O. Box 12877**  
**Austin, Texas 78711**  
**E-mail address: [mark.wyatt@tdra.state.tx.us](mailto:mark.wyatt@tdra.state.tx.us)**  
**TDRA website: [www.tdra.state.tx.us](http://www.tdra.state.tx.us)**

**PART II – EAST TEXAS RRC  
APPROVED ACTIONS**

1. The East Texas RRC held its required Public Hearing on April 27, 2010, at 9:00 AM to hear public comments on the proposed objective scoring criteria, and to approve the RRC Guidebook, project priorities and the objective scoring criteria.
2. The RRC selected the East Texas Council of Governments as support staff to develop and disseminate the RRC Guidebook. The RRC selected the East Texas Council of Governments as support staff to calculate the RRC scores and provide other administrative RRC support.
3. The RRC established the maximum grant amounts for the region:
  - Single jurisdiction: \$275,000.00
  - Multi-jurisdictions: \$350,000.00
4. The RRC did not establish set-asides for housing and non-border Colonia projects.
5. The RRC did not elect to participate in the pilot “Forward Commitments” Program.
6. The RRC elected to prohibit voting by committee members who arrive late or do not attend the entire public meeting.

**PART III – EAST TEXAS RRC  
SUMMARY OF OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA**

1. <b>Need/Distress</b>	
• Poverty Rate	100 Points Maximum
• Per Capita Income	75 Points Maximum
• Unemployment Rate	45 Points Maximum
• Funding in previous application cycles	170 Points Maximum
2. <b>Match/Leverage</b>	
• Match amount	<u>60 Points Maximum</u>
<b>TOTAL POSSIBLE REGIONAL POINTS:</b>	<b>450 Points Maximum</b>

**PART IV – EAST TEXAS RRC  
OBJECTIVE SCORING CRITERIA**

# NEED/DISTRESS

## ***1. WHAT IS THE POVERTY RATE (POVERTY PERCENTAGE) OF THE CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA? (100 POINTS MAXIMUM)***

### **Methodology:**

Poverty rate may be determined by reviewing the 2000 Census data for the census geographic area. Next, using the information obtained for each applicant and the target area identified on the census map, calculate the poverty rate for each applicant by dividing the total number of persons at or below the designated poverty level by the population from which poverty persons was determined. Once this has been determined, the average poverty rate of the applicants is determined by dividing the sum of all poverty rates by the number of applicants.

A base is determined by multiplying the average poverty rate by a constant such as 1.25 to represent 125%. The poverty rate is then divided by the base for each applicant to determine their poverty factor.

Finally, to determine scores the poverty factor for each applicant is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.

**For example, a region has five applicants. The average rate of the five applicants is .2647. A constant of 1.25 is multiplied by the average poverty rate to determine the base. The poverty rate of each applicant is then divided by the base to determine their poverty factor. Finally, scores for each applicant are determined by multiplying the poverty factor by the maximum available points for this scoring criterion.**

### **EXAMPLE: \*based on 15 maximum points**

<b>Applicant</b>	<b>Poverty Rate</b>	<b>Poverty Factor</b>	<b>Score</b>
A	.1960	0.5925	8.89
B	.4096	1.2382	15.00
C	.2276	0.6880	10.32
D	.3760	1.1366	15.00
E	.1143	0.3455	05.18

Average:  $1.3235 / 5 = .2647$

Base =  $1.25 * .2647 = .3308$

Maximum Allowable Points: 15

Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.

If the target area(s) encompasses more than one census geographic area (such as two or more Census Tracts or Block Groups or any combination of Census Tract(s) and/or Block Group(s)), the poverty rate shall be calculated as follows: sum of the total number of persons at or below the designated poverty level of all census geographic areas in the target area divided by the sum of the total population from which poverty persons was determined of all census geographic areas in the target area.

**Data Source: As Stated Below**

Population and Poverty Rate: 2000 Census Summary File 3 Table P87

Census Geographic Area: 2000 Census map(s)

**Information Needed From Applicant to Score:**

Total Population of Census Geographic Area: \_\_\_\_\_

Census Geographic Area Poverty Rate: \_\_\_\_\_

Target Area(s) identified on Census Map(s): attach map(s)

***2. WHAT IS THE PER CAPITA INCOME OF THE CENSUS GEOGRAPHIC AREA? (75 POINTS MAXIMUM)***

**Methodology:**

Per capita income may be determined by reviewing the 2000 Census data for the census geographic area. Next, using the information obtained for each applicant and the target area identified on the census map, calculate the average annual per capita income by dividing the sum of all annual per capita incomes by the total number of applicants.

A base is set to provide a constant for the equation. The base is calculated by multiplying the average per capita income by a set number such as .75 to represent 75%. The base is then divided by the annual per capita income for each applicant. This number is referred to as the annual per capita income factor.

Finally to determine the score for each applicant the annual per capita income factor is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.

**For example, a region has five applicants. The average annual per capita income of the five applicants is \$34,200. A constant of .75 is multiplied by the annual average**

per capita income to determine the base (25,650). The base is then divided by the annual per capita income of each applicant to determine their per capita income factor. Finally, scores for each applicant are determined by multiplying the per capita income factor by the maximum available points for this scoring criterion.

**EXAMPLE: \* based on 15 maximum points**

Applicant	Per Capita Income (PCI)	PCI Factor	Score
A	\$36,000	.7125	10.6875
B	\$32,000	.8016	12.0240
C	\$33,500	.7657	11.4855
D	\$34,000	.7544	11.3160
E	\$35,500	.7225	10.8375
TOTAL	\$171,000		

Average:  $171,000 / 5 = 34,200$

Base=  $.75 * 34,200 = 25,650$

Maximum Allowable Points: 15

Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.

If the target area(s) encompasses more than one census geographic area (such as two or more Census Tracts or Block Groups or any combination of Census Tract(s) and/or Block Group(s)), the per capita income shall be calculated as follow: sum of Aggregate Income in 199 (P83) of all census geographic areas in the target area divided by the sum of the Total Population (PI) of all census geographic areas in the target area.

**Data Source: As Stated Below**

Population: 2000 Census Data Summary File 3 Table P82

**OR**

If geographic area contains more than one Census Tract/Block Group: P1 and P83.

Census Geographic Area: 2000 Census map(s).

**Information Needed From Applicant to Score:**

Per Capita Income for Census Geographic Area: \_\_\_\_\_

Target Area(s) identified on Census Map(s): attach map(s)

**3. WHAT IS THE UNEMPLOYMENT RATE FOR THE APPLICANT BASED ON THE APPROPRIATE COUNTY DATA? (45 POINTS MAXIMUM)**

**Methodology:**

The unemployment rate for the applicant’s jurisdiction may be determined by reviewing county data from the Tracer section of the Texas Workforce Commission’s website. Next, the average unemployment rate of the applicants is determined by dividing the sum of all unemployment rates by the number of applicants.

A base is determined by multiplying the average unemployment rate by a constant such as 1.25 to represent 125%. The unemployment rate is then divided by the base for each applicant to determine their unemployment factor.

Finally, to determine scores, the unemployment factor for each applicant is multiplied by the total maximum allowable points. Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.

**For example, a region has five applicants. The average unemployment rate of the five applicants is .2647. A constant of 1.25 is multiplied by the average unemployment rate to determine the base (0.3308). The unemployment rate of each applicant is then divided by the base to determine their unemployment factor. Finally, scores for each applicant are determined by multiplying the unemployment factor by the maximum available points for this scoring criterion.**

Projects that include multiple jurisdictions - the applicant with the largest percentage (%) of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record

**EXAMPLE: \* based on 15 maximum points**

Applicant	Unemployment Rate	Unemployment Factor	Score
A	.1960	0.5925	8.89
B	.4096	1.2382	15.00
C	.2276	0.6880	10.32
D	.3760	1.1366	15.00
E	.1143	0.3455	05.18

Average:  $1.3235 / 5 = .2647$

Base =  $1.25 * .2647 = .3308$

Maximum Allowable Points: 15

Any applicants exceeding the total allowed points will be capped at the maximum.

**Data Source:** TWC Tracer for 2009 Annual Data as provided on the TDRA website.

**Information Needed From Applicant to Score:**

Applicant Unemployment Rate for 2009 Annual Data: \_\_\_\_\_

(To be available approximately 30 days prior to the application deadline)

**4. HAS APPLICANT BEEN FUNDED IN THE ANY OF THE TWO PREVIOUS TWO COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUPPLEMENTAL FUND (CD/CDS) FUND APPLICATION CYCLES? (170 POINTS MAXIMUM)**

**Methodology:**

Data source documentation will be reviewed and points will be assigned. Projects that include multiple jurisdictions - the applicant with the largest percentage (%) of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record.

- a. The applicant received full or partial funding in the 2009-2010 CDBG competition (includes CDBG-R and RSF) 0 Pts
- b. The applicant received full or partial funding in the 2007-2008 CDBG competition 85 Pts
- c. The applicant did NOT receive funding in the 07/08 OR 09/10 CDBG competition 170 Pts

**Data Source:** TDRA Tracking System Report

**Information Needed From Applicant to Score:**

Funded in Previous 1 or 2 CD/CDS cycles:

**2007-2008**

Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

List Contract No.  
\_\_\_\_\_

**2009-2010**

Yes\_\_\_ No\_\_\_

List Contract No.  
\_\_\_\_\_

# MATCH/LEVERAGE

## 1. WHAT IS THE APPLICANT'S MATCH AMOUNT? [MATCH AMOUNT/TXCDBG FUNDS REQUESTED] (60 POINTS MAXIMUM)

### Methodology:

If the project is for beneficiaries for the entire county, the total population of the county is used. If the project is for activities in the unincorporated area of the county with a target area of beneficiaries, the population category is based on the unincorporated residents for the entire county. For county applications addressing water and sewer improvements in unincorporated areas, the population category is based on the actual number of beneficiaries to be served by the project activities. If the project serves beneficiaries for applications submitted by cities, the total city population is used.

Projects that include multiple jurisdictions - the applicant with the largest percentage (%) of beneficiaries will be considered the applicant of record.

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 1,500 according to the 2000 Census:

- Match equal to or greater than 5% of grant request **60 points**
- Match at least 4% but less than 5% of grant request **40 points**
- Match at least 3%, but less than 4% of grant request **20 points**
- Match at least 2%, but less than 3% of grant request **10 points**
- Match less than 2% of grant request **0 points**

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 3,000 but over 1,500 according to the 2000 Census:

- Match equal to or greater than 10% of grant request **60 points**
- Match at least 7.5% but less than 10% of grant request **40 points**
- Match at least 5%, but less than 7.5% of grant request **20 points**
- Match at least 2.5%, but less than 5% of grant request **10 points**
- Match less than 2.5% of grant request **0 points**

Applicant(s) population equal to or less than 5,000 but over 3,000 according to the 2000 Census:

- Match equal to or greater than 15% of grant request **60 points**
- Match at least 11.5% but less than 15% of grant request **40 points**

- Match at least 7.5%, but less than 11.5% of grant request **20 points**
- Match at least 3.5%, but less than 7.5% of grant request **10 points**
- Match less than 3.5% of grant request **0 points**

Applicant(s) population over 5,000 according to the 2000 Census:

- Match equal to or greater than 20% of grant request **60 points**
- Match at least 15% but less than 20% of grant request **40 points**
- Match at least 10%, but less than 15% of grant request **20 points**
- Match at least 5%, but less than 10% of grant request **10 points**
- Match less than 5% of grant request **0 points**

**Data Source: As Stated Below**

Applicant Match: SF 424 and Resolution and/or Commitment Letter from 3<sup>rd</sup> Party Source

Population: 2000 Census Data Summary File 3 Table P1

County Unincorporated Water/Sewer Beneficiaries: CD Application Table 1 Verified By ORCA

**Information Need from Applicant to Score:**

Applicant Population: \_\_\_\_\_

County Unincorporated Water/Sewer Beneficiaries: \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant TxCDBG Amount: \$\_\_\_\_\_

Applicant Match from All Sources: \$\_\_\_\_\_



For more information regarding the East Texas Regional Review Committee please feel free to contact the East Texas Council of Governments at:

3800 Stone Road  
Kilgore, Texas 75662  
(903) 983-1440  
[info@etcog.org](mailto:info@etcog.org)  
[www.etcog.org](http://www.etcog.org)

